H Σ KHNH IN THE GREEK PSEUDEPIGRAPHA

Leonardo Godinho Nunes¹

Resumo

Existe há muito tempo um debate nos meios acadêmicos quanto a que tipo de santuário é descrito e discutido no livro de Hebreus. Alguns defendem que o autor de Hebreus baseou-se na filosofia grega em sua discussão do tema. Outros eruditos, no entanto, defendem a posição de que o santuário retratado em Hebreus é interpretado de maneira mais literal e menos alegórica. Este artigo apresenta uma análise da palavra $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ na pseudepigrafia preservada em grego. O objetivo é descobrir se o pano de fundo judaico apoia a ideia filo-platônica do santuário ou a ideia mais literal. Para atingir esse objetivo, o autor analisa a palavra $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ na pseudepigrafia judaica preservada em grego e avalia se o seu uso é feito de maneira literal ou de maneira metafórica/alegórica.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Hebreus. Pseudepigrafia Grega. Santuário.

Abstract

For a long time, there has been a debate in the academic circles regarding of what kind of sanctuary is portrayed and discussed in the book of Hebrews. Some defend the Hebrews author based his discussion of the theme in the Greek philosophy. Other scholars, however, defend the position that the sanctuary described in Hebrews is interpreted in a more literal and less allegorical manner.

¹ Doutorando em Novo Testamento pela Andrews University e professor no Seminário Adventista Latino-Americano de Teologia. Seminário Adventista Latino-Americano de Teologia, BR 101, KM 197 - Cx. Postal 18 – Capoeiruçu – Cachoeira – BA – Brasil – CEP 44300-000 – Tel. (75) 3425 8035.

This article presents an analysis of the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ in the pseudepigraphy preserved in Greek. The objective is to find out if the Jewish background supports a Philo-Platonic idea of the sanctuary or a more literal idea. In order to achieve this purpose, the author analyses the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ in all the Jewish pseudepigrapha preserved in Greek and evaluates if its use is made in a literal manner or in a metaphorical/ allegorical manner.

KEYWORDS: Book of Hebrews. Greek Pseudepigrapha. Sanctuary.

INTRODUCTION

There is an important debate about what kind of pattern the book of Hebrews follows in portraying the Sanctuary. Some scholars argue that the author of Hebrews follows the Plato-Philonic pattern (MOFFAT, 1924; KÄSEMANN, 1961; GRÄSSER, 1965; SCHIERSE, 1969; THEISSEN, 1969; HÉRING, 1970; DEY, 1975; SPICQ, 1977; ATTRIDGE, 1989). Others scholars support a much more literal interpretation for the Sanctuary in Hebrews (WILLIAMSON, 1963; WILLIAMSON, 1970; JOHNSSON, 1973; MCNICOL, 1974). While Attridge (1989, p. 223) says that "there are significant parallels between Philo and Hebrews in the structure of their treatment, parallels that point to their common Hellenistic Jewish background", Johnsson (1989, p. 50) affirms that "it is becoming more and more clear that many Jewish groups believed in a realistic heavenly sanctuary and liturgy".

What are the hermeneutical implications of this Jewish background? One potential source for documenting this influence is the Greek Pseudepigraphy. Does this corpus support a Plato-Philonic view of the Sanctuary, or does it have a more literal approach? What does the use of the Greek word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ in the Pseudepigrapha suggest concerning the metaphysical or literal view of the Sanctuary? Therefore, the purpose of this article is to analyze if the Greek Pseudepigrapha supports a metaphysical (Plato-Philonic) or literal view of the Sanctuary.

In order to accomplish the purpose expressed above, it is necessary to endeavor a research in each instance where the

Leonardo Godinho Nunes - H Σ KHNH in the Greek Pseudepigrapha 47

word $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ occurs. It is necessary, also, to relate this word to its respective immediate context as well as to the nature of the book where it appears.

It is not the purpose of this study to examine Slavonic, Latin, or Hebrew Pseudepigrapha versions. This study aims to examine only the Pseudepigrapha in its Greek form, so that the purpose of the article can be achieved.

Σ KHNH Word Analysis

The history of $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ shows that "tent" is its basic meaning. In the 5th and 4th century B.C.E. this was still the strict sense. This word is used in the classic Greek for tents in a camp of herdsman or soldiers. Later it was used as a designation to the house before which the players acted (MICHAELIS, 1964). The LXX uses this word to indicate a simple booth, hut or an abode, dwelling, and also for the Israelite Tabernacle of the wilderness (SPIROS, 1994). In the Greek Pseudepigrapha the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ occurs 17 times in 17 verses and with 6 different forms (BUSHELL, TAN e WEAVER, 2004).

TESTAMENT OF JUDAH

In the Testament of Judah 25, which talks about the resurrection to a renewed life on the present earth (CHARLES, 2004c), the author uses $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ amid persons and things which bless Jacob sons.

καὶ κύριος εὐλογήσει τὸν Λευί· ὁ ἀγγελος τοῦ προσώπου ἐμέαἱ δυνάμεις τῆς δόξης τὸν Συμεών· ὁ οὐρανὸς τὸν 'Ρουβήμ· τὸν Ίσαχὰρ ἡ γῆ· ἡ θάλασσα τὸν Ζαβουλών· τὰ ὄρη τὸν 'Ιωσήφ· ἡ σκηνὴ τὸν Βενιαμίν· οἱ φωστῆρες τὸν Δάν· ἡ τρυφὴ τὸν Νεφθαλίμὁ ἥλιος τὸν Γάδ· ἐλαία τὸν Ἀσήρ· (12J 25:2 OPG) (EVANS e KOIVISTO, s. d.).

This work, which pertain to the work Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs, is diversely dated. R. H. Charles (2004a) dates it 109-40 B.C.E, but H. C. Kee (1983) and James Young (1990) date it as early as 150 B.C.E. from as early as 150 B.C. to 40 B.C.E.

It belongs to Jewish Testament genre,² which contains Apocalyptic sections (CHARLESWORTH, 1992; ROGERS JR, 1993), having received several additions in the Christian era (JONGE, 1992; CHARLES, 2004a).

In verse 2, Jacob's sons are blessed by (1) κύριος, δ άγγελος τοῦ προσώπου, and δυνάμεις τῆς δόξης. The first two are clearly living beings. The third one may be considered just a power or strength. But the word δυνάμεις, which is employed twice in the book of Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs, it is used only to depict living creatures. It is used to describe "the hosts (δυνάμεις) of the armies," which live in the third heaven (12L 3:3 OTP) (CHARLES, 2004a). Therefore, a good translation for δυνάμεις της δόξης here could be "hosts of glory," instead of "powers of glory."

They are blessed, also, by (2) ὁ οὐρανὸς ἡ γῆ, ἡ θάλασσα, τὰ ὄρη, ή σκηνή, οἱ φωστῆρες, ή τρυφή, ὁ ήλιος, and ἐλαία. All these things are a reference to nature or to a sacred place.⁴ This usage is quite similar to Ps 148 where angels, hosts, sun, moon, stars, heavens, waters, mountains and trees, among many others, (Ps 148:1-14 NAS) are invited to praise the Lord. This usage could be considered a metaphor, but cannot be regarded as platonic. The authors of both Testament of Judah and Ps 148 are employing literal language to make their point.

Therefore, due to the book genre (Jewish Testament), the theme of chap. 25 (resurrection to a renewed life on the present earth), and

² Jewish Testament genre refers to some books which were legendary expansions of biblical history, based mainly on the law, including some legends about the prophets. It is a farewell address of a father to his sons or a leader to his successors. One of the time-honored components of such farewell speeches was prediction of the future (COLLINS, 1979). In the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs, each of the 12 sons of Jacob, as his last words, offers moral insights to his sons; sometimes the instruction is characterized by apocalyptic visions (or visions of final restoration, as in Testament of Judah 25) (GUNTRIE, 1996; CHARLESWORTH, 1999).

³ The word $\delta u \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon_{15}$ (host), could be e reference to the angelical hosts. The angelology of the Pseudepigrapha encourages this idea. A good understanding about angelology in the Pseudepigrapha can be obtained in the dissertation of Gordon Kirk (1985).

⁴ According to Liddell et al. (1996), ή τρυφή can signify softness, delicacy, daintiness, or luxuriousness, wantonness. But Charles (2004c), affirms that ή τρυφή here follows the same idea of the word [[.τ. " (Eden), of which the LXX renders παράδεισος in Gen 2:15.

Leonardo Godinho Nunes - H Σ KHNH in the Greek Pseudepigrapha 49

the nature of v. 2 in itself (a list of concrete and real things), it can be inferred that the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \dot{\eta}$ is used not in a Platonic sense, but as a literal and sacred place, a place where blessings flow.

TESTAMENT OF ABRAHAM A

In the book A of the Testament of Abraham⁵ the vocable σκηνή appears four times. Most scholars date this work from the 1st c. B.C.E or ca. 1st c. C.E. According to the Testament genre, it has both historical expansions and Jewish apocalyptical literature. However, this Testament has more parallels with apocalyptic literature than The Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs. It seems that the text has been produced in Egypt, formerly. It was an important book for Coptic Jews, which was read in the *Berhan Saraqa* (The light appears) feast. The Testament of Abraham has philosophical parallels with Philo, and Wisdom of Solomon, regarding to the immortality of the soul (or potentially so), and individual judgment immediately at death (GRABBE, 2000).

In all four times where $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ appears it is making a reference to a dwelling place. Chaps. 5:11 and 6:4 allude strictly to a tent – to Sarah and Abraham's house. Chaps. 1:2 and 20:14, however, bear more meaning than simply house – especially 20:14.

Σάρρα δὲ ὑπάρχουσα ἐν τῆ σκηνῆ αὐτῆς ἤκουσεν τοῦ κλαυθμοῦ αὐτῶν καὶ ἦλθεν δρομαία ἐπ' αὐτούς, καὶ εὖρεν αὐτούς περιπλακομένους καὶ κλαίοντας· [...] εἶπεν δὲ Σάρρα· Επίστασαι, κύριε μου, τοὺς τρεῖς ἄνδρας τοὺς ἐπουρανίους τοὺς ἐπιξενισθέντας ἐν τῆ σκηνῆ ἡμῶν παρὰ τὴν δρῦν τὴν Μαμβρῆ ὅτε ἔσφαξας τὸν μὸσχον τὸν ἄμωνον καὶ παρέθηκας αὐτοῖς τράπεζαν· (Ta1 5:11; 6:4 OPG).

Chap. 1:1 introduces Abraham, the patriarch, as a quiet, gentle, and righteous man; but above all, extremely hospitable. In order to prove his concept, the author says in chapter 1:2 that πήξας γὰρ τὴν σκηνὴν αὐτοῦ ἐν τεραοδίω τῆς δρυὸς τῆς Μαμβρῆ, τοὺς πάντας ὑπεδέχετο, πλουσίους καὶ πένητας, βασιλεῖς τε καὶ ἄρχοντας,

⁵ There are two recensions of the Testament of Abraham. Not only recension A is longer than recension B, but they differ, also, in some story details, narrative emphasis and theological features (ROBERTS, DONALDSON e COXE, 1997).

άναπήρους καὶ ἀδυνάτους, φίλους καὶ ξένους, γείτονας καὶ παροδίτας, ἴσον ὑπεδέχετο ὁ ὅσιος καὶ πανίερος καὶ δίκαιος καὶ φιλόξενος Ἀβραάμ. (Tal 1:2 OPG)

In this verse σκηνή is in the accusative form (τήν σκηνήν), and functions syntactically as direct objet of the participle ($\pi \eta \xi \alpha \varsigma$) (WALLACE, 1996). $\pi \eta \xi \alpha \varsigma$ is the verb participle aorist active nominative masculine singular from $\pi \eta \gamma \nu \mu \mu$, which means "pitch a tent", "built", or "stick, fix in". In that phrase it functions syntactically as antecedent participle to the main verb. The main verb of the sentence is ὑπεδέχετο (verb indicative imperfect middle 3rd person singular from ὑποδέχομαι), which means "to receive into one's house," or "to receive hospitably" (LIDDELL et al., 1996). It functions syntactically as a customary imperfect (WALLACE, 1996). A rough translation, then, of the sentence $\pi \eta \xi \alpha \varsigma \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \eta \nu$ σκηνήν αύτοῦ ... τοὺς πάντας ὑπεδέχετο could be rendered: "For, after pitching his tent... he customarily received hospitably everyone."

Therefore, it seems that $\sigma_{x\eta\nu\dot{\eta}}$ is a place where Abraham can show his hospitality to everyone. And only after he built a tent could he be a hospitable person effectively. His tent was not just a house, but a place to demonstrate hospitality continuously. Maybe, going a little bit farther in the interpretation, it can be said that the tent was built with the purpose of expressing hospitality. And it is possible to say that $\sigma_{x\eta\nu\eta}$ in this text is a literal dwelling, a place where each person can find hospitality.

The last chapter of the book (chap. 20) talks about Abraham's death and life after death. It portrays the soul as something with independent life and superior to the body (20:8-13), which have, also, a better reward. In this context God says: Αρατε οὖν τὸν φίλον μου τὸν Αβραὰμ εἰς τὸν παράδεισον, ἔνθα εἰσὶν αἱ σκηναὶ τῶν δικαίων μου καὶ μοναὶ τῶν ἁγίων μου Ισαὰκ καὶ Ιακώβ ἐν τῷ κόλπῳ, ἔνθα οὐκ έστιν πόνος, ού λύπη, ού στεναγμοὶ, ἀλλ' εἰρήνη καὶ ἀγαλλίασις καὶ ζωή άτελεύτητος (Tal 20:14 OPG).

For the purpose of this paper, the important phrase is $\xi \nu \theta \alpha$ είσιν αι σκηναι των δικαίων μου και μοναι των άγίων μου. The two sentences are in parallel, separated by a xaí. The word σxηvai, consequently, is in parallel with µoval, which means a staying, abiding, tarrying, stay, a stopping place, station, mansion (LIDDELL)

LEONARDO GODINHO NUNES - H **ZKHNH** IN THE GREEK PSEUDEPIGRAPHA 51

et al., 1996; DANKER, BAUER e ARNDT, 2000). Even though the context points to some kind of platonic philosophy, it seems here that $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ has a more concrete sense, inasmuch as the parallelism between $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \alpha$ and μονα. $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$, then, would mean not an ethereal dwelling, but a mansion in which to live.

Someone could say that this mansion was a place for Abraham's soul, and therefore ethereal. But the chapter points to a correlation between physical and metaphysical. The death is personified (vv. 1-4), the hand of Abraham touches the hand of Death, and Abraham's soul adheres to Death's hand (vv. 8-9). Abraham's soul is attired with linen cloth (v. 10), and in the end, Abraham's soul goes to the Paradise to live in a tent prepared for the righteous ones (v. 14).

At the end of the chapter (v. 15), the author shows that $\phi i \lambda \delta \xi \epsilon v i \alpha$ (love for stranges) was the most important virtue of the patriarch. Just as Abraham was hospitable to everyone (1:1, 2), in the same way God was hospitable to him (20:14). And the tent was a place where both Abraham and God showed their hospitality. Therefore, the work Testament of Abraham A, despite of its metaphysical content, portrays $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ as a literal place, a place where hospitality happens.

JUBILEES

The book of Jubilees was written ca. second century B.C.E. during the Maccabean era, originally in Semitic language (if Hebrew or Aramaic is uncertain). The purpose for write this book was to defend Judaism against the disintegrating effects of Hellenism. The author glorifies the law as an eternal ordinance (LADD, 1958; ENDRES, 2000; CHARLES, 2004a).

In the book of the Jubilees there is only one reference to the word σκηνή (16:21). The author says that ἑαυτῷ δὲ ἰδία καὶ τοῖς οἰκἑταις αὐτοῦ κατὰ συγγενείας πηξάμενος σκηνάς, τότε πρῶτον Åβραὰμ τῆς σκηνοπηγίας ἐπί ἑπτὰ ἡμέρας ἐπιτελεῖ τὴν ἐορτήν (Jub 16:21 OPG). πηξάμενος (verb participle aorist middle nominative masculine singular from πήγνυμι) in the first sentence has no connotation of purpose or cause, but simply a temporal meaning. The temporal meaning is reinforced by the words τοτε and πρῶτον. It is important

52 Hermenêutica, Volume 10, N. 1, 45-61

to observe, also, that the main verb of the verse (ἐπιτελεῖ)⁶ is in the present tense (historical present).⁷ Consequently, πηξάμενος would be in antecedent temporal position. A rough translation could be rendered "after building tents for himself and for his house servants, according to kinship, then first he performed [or accomplished, fulfilled] the feast of tabernacles during seven days."

Clearly this verse emphasizes the feast of tabernacles, because it is the first thing Abraham did $(\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega)$ after pitching the tents. But it can be perceived, also, that $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\alpha\dot{\iota}$ was a site where the celebration happened. Therefore, in the book of Jubilees $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\dot{\eta}$ is a literal building, it is a place where there is celebration.

Apocalypse of Moses, $3^{\mbox{\tiny RD}}$ and $4^{\mbox{\tiny TH}}$ Maccabees, and Pseudo-Hecateus

Apocalypse of Moses tells of the life of Adam and Eve outside paradise, and the journey of Eve and Seth to paradise in search of the oil of the Tree of Life which would cure Adam. Adam dies and his soul is taken into the presence of God by the Cherubim. Through the prayers of the angels, Adam is pardoned and taken back into the third heaven. It has a parallel in the Latin version *Vita Adae et Evae*. The date of composition can vary from ca. 100 B.C.E. to 200 C.E (MACKENZIE, 1992; TATE, 1992; WALLACE, 1992; DUNN, 2002).

3 Maccabees is a historical novel about the Maccabean rebellion. It is a similar work to Judith or Tobit, showing faithful Jews risking their lives for the sake of their beliefs, and being rewarded by God. It clearly belongs to the Jewish apologetic literature, and seems to belong to the strict and conservative school of the Hasidim, devoted to the law. It is dated ca. 2nd or 1st century B.C.E. 4 Maccabees is a discussion of reason, which arises

⁶ The lexical meaning of ἐπιτελέω is "to bring about a result according to plan or objective", "complete", "accomplish", "perform", "bring about"; "the fulfillment of the oracles" (LIDDELL et al., 1996; DANKER, BAUER e ARNDT, 2000).

⁷ According to Chapman and Shogren (1994), "in narratives, the present may be used interchangeably with the past tenses. By switching to the present, the author may be making the action more vivid to the reader. It should be translated as a past tense".

LEONARDO GODINHO NUNES - H **ZKHNH** IN THE GREEK PSEUDEPIGRAPHA 53

out of the martyrdom of the Maccabean rebels. It is essentially a philosophical reflection on certain aspects of Israel's history, which shows considerable signs of the influence of Stoic thought. Since its allusions to events of the Maccabean period appear to be drawn from 2 Maccabees, it cannot have been written earlier than the first century B.C., and possibly even later (GUNTRIE, 1996; DRANE, 2000; KNOWLES, 2001; CHARLES, 2004b; CHARLES, 2004d).

The books Apocalypse of Moses (12:2; 14:1), 3 Maccabees (1:2, 3), 4 Maccabees (3:8), and Pseudo-Hecateus (4:1),⁸ make reference to the word $\sigma_{x\eta\nu\eta}$ in passing as house, tent or dwelling. with no significant meaning.

LIVES OF THE PROPHETS

This brief document claims to report the names birthplaces, circumstances of death, and resting places of three major (plus Daniel), 12 minor, and seven nonliterary prophets from the Bible. It also includes noncanonical information from popular folklore (e.g., Jonah was the son of the widow of Zarephath whom Elijah visited in 1 Kings 17) to embellish some of its biographies. It seems that the Lives of the Prophets is a Greek translation of a Hebrew original, even though it has a Christian thought in a Jewish substratum (GUGLIOTO, 1995; CHARLESWORTH, 1996; CHAPMAN e KÖSTENBERGER, 2000; NEUFELD, 2002a).

The book Lives of the Prophets, dating from the first century A.D., has two occurrences of the word σκηνή (12:13; 18:1). Both references use the word σκηνή as a simple allusion to the Israelite Tabernacle, in an epexegetical phrase. Chapter 12:13⁹ alludes to $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ in order to explain what site in the desert the angels carried the capitals of the two pillars (v. 12). Chapter 18:1¹⁰ mentions the word $\sigma_{\varkappa\eta\nu\dot{\eta}}$ as a reference to the city of Shiloh.

⁸ According to Schürer (1974), Origen, quoting the work περί Ιουδαίων, of Philo Byblius, also called Herennius Philo, says that either the book was not the work of the historian Hecateus, or that if Hecateus were indeed the author, he must have out and out accepted the Jewish doctrine.

⁹ αὐτὰ δὲ ἐν τῆ ἐρήμῶ ἀπενεχθήσονται ὑπὸ ἀγγέλων, ὅπου ἐν ἀρχῆ ἐπάγη ἡ σκηνή τοῦ μαρτυρίου (Liv 12:13 OPG). ¹⁰ Αχία ἀπὸ Σηλώμ, ὅπου ἦν ἡ σκηνὴ τὸ παλαιόν, ἐκ πόλεως Ηλί

⁽Liv 18:1 OPG).

EUPOLEMUS CONCERNING MOSES

The word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ is found three times in the book of Eupolemus concerning Moses. Eupolemus was an important and well-known Alexandrian Jewish historian who flourished in Palestine in the mid-2nd century B.C.E. The fragments of his works suggest that he was a bilingual author having Hebrew or Aramaic as his first and Greek as his second language (HOLLADAY, 1992; NEUFELD, 2002b).¹¹ This work is regarded as Greco-Jewish historical literature, written originally in Greek language (FIENSY, 1994). It portrays Moses as the "first wise man" (1:1) and cultural benefactor responsible for originating the alphabet and recording laws (1:1).

All three allusions in this book refer to $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ as the Israelite Tabernacle. Chapter 2:1¹² mentions "the holy Tabernacle" just to show Joshua's prominence. Chapter 2:22¹³ alludes to $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ in passing, just to say that it was placed, at last, inside Salomon's Temple. Chapter 2:16, which belongs to the account of the building of the Temple, has important information: $\pi o i \eta \sigma a_1 \delta k \kappa a_1 \lambda u \chi \nu i a_{\beta}$ $\chi \rho u \sigma a_{\zeta}$, $\delta k \kappa a$, $\delta k \kappa a$ $\tau a \lambda a \nu \tau a k \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ $\delta \lambda \kappa \eta \nu$ $a \gamma o u \sigma a_{\zeta}$, $\delta m \delta \delta k \kappa a$ $\lambda a \beta \delta \nu \tau a \tau \eta \nu$ $\delta m \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta$ $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ $\tau o \tilde{u} \mu a \rho \tau u \rho i o u \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tilde{i} \sigma a \nu$. (Eup 2:16 OPG).

In this text, there are five key words. (1) $\pi oi\eta\sigma ai$ (verb infinitive aorist active from $\pi oi \epsilon \omega$) is an infinitive of indirect discourse as well as of purpose. (2) $\lambda \alpha \beta \delta \nu \tau \alpha$ (verb participle aorist active accusative masculine singular from $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \delta \nu \omega$) is a participle of indirect discourse (ROBERTSON, 1919).¹⁴ (3) $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu$ (verb participle perfect middle accusative feminine singular from $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$) means commonly, "to set" or "to put." But here it has a sense of

¹¹ Eupolemus as a well known Greek-Jewish historian is quoted by Eusebius (*Praeparatio Evangelica*, Book 9), Clement of Alexandria (*Str.*) and Josephus (Ant. 12.415; Ag. Apion 1.218). Clement and Eusebius knew him through the pagan author Alexander Polyhistor (ca. 112–30 B.C.E.)

¹² εἶτα Ιησοῦν, τὸν τοῦ Ναυῆ υἱόν, ἔτη λ· βιῶσαι δ' αὐτὸν ἔτη ρι πῆξαί τε τὴν ἱερὰν σκηνὴν ἐν Σιλοῖ (Eup 2:1 OPG).

 ¹³ λαβόντα δὲ τὴν σκηνὴν και τὸ θυσιαστὴριον και τὰ σκεύη, ἅ ἐποίησε Μωσῆς, εἰς Ιεροσόλυμα ἐνεγκεῖν και ἐν τῷ οἴ κω θεῖναι (Eup 2:22 OPG).
¹⁴ According to Wallace (1996, p. 645-646), "an anarthrous participle in

¹⁴ According to Wallace (1996, p. 645-646), "an anarthrous participle in the *accusative* case, in conjunction with an accusative noun or pronoun, sometimes indicates indirect discourse. The participle of indirect discourse retains the tense of the direct discourse".

Leonardo Godinho Nunes - H Σ KHNH in the Greek Pseudepigrapha 55

to give, or to establish (LIDDELL et al., 1996). This participle is the adjective of $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$, since it has an article (even though in a inclusion) and is accusative, as well. (4) $\epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \tilde{\eta}$ (noun dative feminine singular common from $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$) it is not a dative of sphere, as usually, but it is probable that the Eupolemus is making a reference to whole Tabernacle. That is, $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ is not inside the Tabernacle, but the Tabernacle is $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$. Consequently this dative, yet with $\epsilon \nu$, could be a dative of reference or respect, or even a dative of standard (WALLACE, 1996).

(5) According to Liddel et al (1996), $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ can mean a pattern; Danker, Bauer and Arndt (2000) say that if $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ is used for behavior or moral instruction it means example, model, or pattern, but in a context of something that appears at a subsequent time, it means outline, sketch, or symbol. Balz and Schneider (1990) say that $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ means example, model, or copy. Schiler (1964) says that $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ in the LXX means "image" or "copy," an example to be followed, or even "document," "proof," or "model." It denotes what is similar, the picture of an original object. Ezekiel 42:15 uses $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$ in reference to the prophetic vision of the temple which is a model for the new house of God. $\delta \pi \delta \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu \alpha$, in the book of Eupolemus concerning Moses, is the pattern of Solomon's temple, as it can be inferred from the word $\kappa \alpha i$, which can be better translated here as "also."

A rough translation of Eup 2:16 could be, then, rendered "he took [the] model, which was established by Moses, according to the standard of [or with reference to, with respect to] the Tabernacle of the Testimony, in order to make, also, ten golden lampstands, weighing ten talents each one."

Therefore, based on the author of the book (a historian), the nature of the book (historical narrative), the context (the building of Solomon's temple), the three allusions of $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ as the Israelite Tabernacle, it is suggested that the word $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ has a literal sense in the book of Eupolemus. $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ is a model ($\vartheta \pi \delta \vartheta \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha$) to building Solomon's temple. Furthermore, the word $\vartheta \pi \delta \vartheta \varepsilon \eta \mu \alpha$ is a concrete model of a physical temple, as well.

CONCLUSION

There are many nuances with regard to the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$. It is portrayed as a sacred place where blessings flow (Testament of Judah), or as a place where each person can find hospitality (The Testament of Abraham A). For the book of Jubilees $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ is a place where there is celebration. Other books (Apocalypse of Moses, 3rd and 4th Maccabees, and Pseudo-Hecateus) describe it simply as tent, house or dwelling. The work Lives of the Prophets uses $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ with reference to the Israelite Tabernacle in the desert and in Shiloh. And finally the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta'$ is used, also, as $\delta \pi \delta \delta \varepsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ for a superior Temple.

The books of the Greek Pseudepigrapha, where the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ is used, vary in date, from 2nd century B.C.E. through 2nd century C.E. Their genres are different, with Jewish testament, Jewish apocalyptic or historical literature giving their own flavor. There are, also, at least two original languages – Greek and Hebrew. Some works have many interpolations, some do not. Some books reveal Hellenistic philosophy, others Hebrew thought, or some even both. They have diverse plots and many characters. However, in the books of the Greek Pseudepigrapha, the word $\sigma \varkappa \eta \nu \eta$ is depicted not in a metaphysical sense, but with a literal meaning.

Referências

ATTRIDGE, Harold W. **The epistle to the Hebrews**: a commentary on the epistle to the Hebrews. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1989.

BALZ, Horst Robert; SCHNEIDER, Gerhard. **Exegetical** dictionary of the New Testament. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1990. 593 p.

BUSHELL, Michael S.; TAN, Michael; WEAVER, Glenn L. **Bibleworks 8**. Versão 8.0.013z.1. Norfolk: Bibleworks, LLC, 2004.

CHAPMAN, Benjamin; SHOGREN, Gary Steven. Greek New Testament insert. ed. eletrônica. Quakertown: Stylus Publishing, 1994. 64 p.

CHAPMAN, David W.; KÖSTENBERGER, Andreas J. Jewish

LEONARDO GODINHO NUNES - H **ZKHNH** IN THE GREEK PSEUDEPIGRAPHA 57

intertestamental and early Rabbinic literature: an annotated bibliographic resource. Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society, Louisville, v. 43, n. 4, p. 577-618, 2000.

CHARLES, Robert Henry. The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of Old Testament. Bellingham: Logos Research Systems, 2004a.

CHARLES, Robert Henry. **Apocrypha of the Old Testament**. ed. eletrônica. Bellingham: Logos Research Systems, 2004b.

CHARLES, Robert Henry. **Commentary on Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament**. ed. eletrônica. Bellingham: Logos Research Systems, 2004c.

CHARLES, Robert Henry. **Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament**. ed. eletrônica. Bellingham: Logos Research Systems, 2004d.

CHARLESWORTH, James H. Pseudepigrapha, OT. In: FREEDMAN, David Noel. **The Anchor Bible dictionary**. New York: Doubleday, 1992. p. 540.

CHARLESWORTH, James H. Pseudepigrapha. In: ACHTEMEIER, Paul J.; BORAAS, Roger S. (Eds.). **The HarperCollins Bible dictionary**. San Francisco: HarperCollins College Publishers, 1996. p. 836-840.

CHARLESWORTH, James H. Pseudepigrapha. In: FAHLBUSCH, Erwin; BROMILEY, Geoffrey W. **Theencyclopedia of Christianity**. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999. p. 410-421.

COLLINS, John Joseph. Apocalypse: the morphology of a genre. **Semeia**, Missoula, v. 14, n. 14, p. 21-60, 1979.

DANKER, Frederick W.; BAUER, Walter; ARNDT, William. A Greek-English lexicon of the New Testament and other early Christian literature. 3^a ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000.

DEY, Lala Kalyan Kumar. **Intermediary world and patterns of perfection in Philo and Hebrews**. Missoula: Society of Biblical Literature; Scholar's Press, 1975.

DRANE, John William. **Introducing the Old Testament** Oxford: Lion Publishing, 2000. 366 p.

DUNN, J. D.G. METZGER, Bruce M. et al. World Biblical

58 Hermenêutica, Volume 10, N. 1, 45-61

Commentary: Romans 1-8. Dallas: Word, 2002. 978 p.

ENDRES, John C. Book of Jubilees. In: FREEDMAN, David Noel; MYERS, Allen C.; BECK, Astrid B. (Eds). **Eerdmans dictionary of the Bible**. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2000. p. 743-744.

EVANS, CraigA.; KOIVISTO, RexA. **The Greek Pseudepigrapha**. Tulsa: OakTree Software, s. d.

FIENSY, David A. New Testament introduction. Joplin: College Press, 1994. 413 p.

GRABBE, Lester L. History of Judaism, part II: Second Temple times (586 B.C.E.-70 C.E.). In: NEUSNER, Jacob et al. **The encyclopedia of Judaism**. Boston: Brill, 2000. p. 601-617.

GRÄSSER, Erich. **Der Glaube im Hebräerbrief**. Habilitationsschrift: N. G. Elwert, 1965.

GUGLIOTO, Lee J. **Handbook for Bible study**: A guide to understanding, teaching and preaching the word of God. ed. eletrônica. Hagerstown: Review and Herald, 1995. 464 p.

GUNTRIE, D. Pseudepigrapha. In: WOOD, D. R. W.; MARSHALL, I. Howard. **New Bible dictionary**. Downers Grove: InterVarsityPress, 1996. p. 985-987.

HÉRING, Jean. The epistle to the Hebrews. London: Epworth, 1970.

HOLLADAY, Carl R. Eupolemus. In: FREEDMAN, David Noel. **The Anchor Bible dictionary**. New York: Doubleday, 1992. p. 672.

JOHNSSON, William G. **Defilement and purgation in the book of Hebrews**. Nashville, 1973. Dissertation (Doutorado), Vanderbilt University.

JOHNSSON, William G. The Heavenly Sanctuary - Figurative or Real? In: HOLBROOK, Frank B. **Issues in the book of Hebrews**. Silver Spring: Biblical Research Institute, 1989. p. 35-52.

JONGE, Marinus de. Messiah. In: FREEDMAN, David Noel. **The Anchor Bible dictionary**. New York: Doubleday, 1992. p. 780-788.

LEONARDO GODINHO NUNES - H **EXHNH** IN THE GREEK PSEUDEPIGRAPHA 59

KÄSEMANN, Ernst. **Das Wandernde Gottesvolk**: eine Untersuchung zum Hebräerbrief. 4. ed. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1961.

KEE, H. C. Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs. In: CHARLESWORTH, J. H. **The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha**. Garden City: Doubleday, 1983.

KIRK, Gordon E. **Eschatological angelology**. Dallas, 1985. Dissertation (Doutorado), Dallas Theological Seminary.

KNOWLES, Andrew. **The Bible guide**. Minneapolis: Augsburg, 2001. 576 p.

LADD, George E. The kingdom of God in the Jewish apocryphal literature part 2. **Biblioteca Sacra**, Dallas, v. 109, n. 434, p. 164-166, 1958.

LIDDELL, Henry George et al. **A Greek-English lexicon**. ed. rev. e aument. New York: Clarendon Press, 1996.

MACKENZIE, Steven L. Jaoel (Angel). In: FREEDMAN, David Noel. **The Anchor Bible dictionary**. New York: Doubleday, 1992. p. 62-64.

MCNICOL, Allan J. The relationship of the image of the highest angel to the high priest concept in Hebrews. Nashville, 1974. Dissertation (Doutorado), Vanderbilt University.

MICHAELIS, Berne Wilhelm. Σκηνή. In: KITTEL, Gerhard; FRIEDRICH, Gerhard; BROMILEY, Geoffrey W. Theological dictionary of the New Testament. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1964.

MOFFAT, James. A critical and exegetical commentary on the epistle to the Hebrews. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1924.

NEUFELD, Don F. (Ed.). **The Seventh-Day Adventist Bible dictionary**. Hagerstown: Review and Herald, 2002a. 1199 p.

NEUFELD, Don F. (Ed.). **The Seventh-Day Adventist Bible students' resource book**. ed. eletrônica. Hagerstown: Review and Herald, 2002b. 1198 p.

ROBERTS, Alexander; DONALDSON, James; COXE, A. Cleveland. Translations of the writings of the Fathers down to

A.D. 325. ed. eletrônica. Oak Harbor: Logos Research Systems, 1997.

ROBERTSON, A. T. A grammar of the Greek New Testament in the light of historical research. New York: Hodder & Stoughton, 1919. 1454 p.

ROGERS JR, Cleon L. The promise to David in early Judaism. **Biblioteca Sacra**, Dallas, v. 150, n. 599, p. 285-302, 1993.

SCHIERSE, Franz Joseph. **The epistle to the Hebrews**. London: Burns & Oates, 1969.

SCHILER, Heinrich. Υπόδειγμα. In: KITTEL, Gerhard; FRIEDRICH, Gerhard; BROMILEY, Geoffrey W. **Theological dictionary of the New Testament**. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1964.

SCHÜRER, Emil. A history of the Jewish people in the time of Jesus Christ, first division. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1974. 3 v.

SPICQ, Ceslas. L'épître aux Hébreux. Paris: J. Gabalda, 1977. 2 v.

SPIROS, Zodhiates. **The complete word study dictionary**: New Testament. Chattanooga: AMG Publishers, 1994.

TATE, Marvin E. Satan in the Old Testament. **Review and Expositor**, Loisville, v. 89, n. 4, p. 461-472, 1992.

THEISSEN. **Untersuchungen zum Hebräerbrief**. Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlagshaus Gerd Mohn, 1969.

WALLACE, Daniel B. **Greek grammar beyond the basics**: an exegetical syntax of the New Testament. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1996. 797 p.

WALLACE, Howard N. Adam (Person). In: FREEDMAN, David Noel. **The Anchor Bible dictionary**. New York: Doubleday, 1992. p. 62-64.

WILLIAMSON, R. Platonism and Hebrews. Scottish Journal of Theology, Princeton, v. 16, n. 4, p. 415-424, 1963.

WILLIAMSON, Ronald. **Philo and the epistle to the Hebrews**. Leiden: Brill, 1970.

Leonardo Godinho Nunes - H Σ KHNH in the Greek Pseudepigrapha 61

YOUNG, James B. de. A critique of prohomosexual interpretations of the Old Testament Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha. **Biblioteca Sacra**, Dallas, v. 147, n. 588, p. 437-454, 1990.